

**Site** *for science*



**Interoperability  
in  
The NSDL**

**Site** *for science*

## How Big might the NSDL be?

---

The NSDL aims to be comprehensive -- all branches of science, all levels of education, very broadly defined.

**Five year targets:**

1,000,000	different users
10,000,000	digital objects
1,000	independent sites

**Requires:** low-cost, scalable, technology  
automated collection building and maintenance

 Site for science

3

## Levels of Interoperability: Federation

---

Standardization on sophisticated protocols, formats, metadata, authentication, etc.

**Examples:**

Library catalogs with MARC and Z 39.50  
DLESE (NSDL)  
smete.org (NSDL)

- High-quality interoperability of services
- High cost of entry to participating sites

Smallish numbers of tightly integrated partners

 Site for science

*Has difficulty scaling*

4

## Levels of Interoperability: Metadata Harvesting

---

**Agreements on simple protocol and metadata standard(s)**

*Example:*

Metadata harvesting protocol of  
the Open Archives Initiative (MHP)

- Moderate-quality services
- Low cost of entry to participating sites

Moderately large numbers of loosely collaborating sites

*Promising but still an emerging approach*



5

## Levels of Interoperability: Gathering

---

**Robots gather collections automatically with no participation  
from individual sites**

*Examples:*

Web search services (e.g., Google)

CiteSeer (a.k.a. ResearchIndex)

- Restricted but useful services
- Zero cost of entry to gathered sites

Very large numbers of independent sites

*Only suitable for open access collections*



6

## Technology Demonstrations



7

## Technology Demonstrations

---

### 1. One Library, Many Portals

- Standard portals provided by NSDL
- Users can configure their own portals using *Tuner* application (not yet implemented)
- Users can save their preferences in database, which are retrieved on login
- Underlying technology is W3C's Channel architecture



8

## Technology Demonstrations

---

### 2. Coherent Services across Heterogeneous Collections

- Normalized metadata in a central metadata repository
- NSDL-wide services will include searching, browsing, reference linking, annotation, etc.
- Distinctive features of collections preserved



9

## Technology Demonstrations

---

### 3. Easy Integration of Participating Collections

- Dublin Core, IMS, FGDC, etc.
- XML mark-up with simple RDF
- Metadata can be harvested (MHP), gathered, or obtained from a federation
- Collections can be presented in a channel using W3C's Rich Site Summary (RSS)



10

## Technology Demonstrations

---

### 4. Variable Levels for Integrating Collections

- Collection-level metadata
- Separate widows for independent collections



11

## Technology Demonstrations

---

### 5. Tools to Create New Collections

- Automatic creation of metadata records, with optional editing
- Collections recommended by users
- Focused web crawling (unimplemented)



12

# Site *for science*

