

## **Summary Report of Conference Call**

**August 10, 2001**

### **1. STATUS**

That with 18 completed records (15 more "prematurely completed") and 77 records still in holding (all of these untouched?) we need a plan to finalize the status on those records from whose contacts we have heard nothing. Lauryl will draft a "final note" to be delivered just after Labor day. If we then have no response by, say Sept 17?, we should drop from them from further consideration for the database.

### **2. RECORD RETRIEVAL**

Matt confirmed that catalogers themselves can retrieve records from the "completed" directory for further revision.

### **3. WORK-FLOW**

John raised our need for a clear work-flow chart and would sketch one out for our consideration. He proposed four directories (untouched by project director; in progress; in hands of catalogers; complete), that might be accessible via the web for the working group to see monitor easily.

### **4. HELP DOCUMENTATION**

Lorna and Chuck will move forward with producing necessary help documentation for sites completing records.

### **5. RIGHTS STATUS**

Rights - The rights status of projects had to be recorded; catalogers should directly contact project directors one additional time if rights information is not given. If not available, it would be reported as unknown

### **6. CDs**

We will accept CDs; if records cannot be fully cataloged, cataloger should request a comp. copy of the CD for cataloging purposes.

### **7. OTHER PROJECTS**

If project directors volunteer other projects, catalogers can send a "new submission form" explaining the basic need for a project to have been funded and having undergone some form of peer review.

## **8. INCLUSION OF OTHER FUNDER RECORDS**

John reported a conversation with Joan Weinstein at the Getty grant program, in which she indicated interest in considering submitting records of Getty-funded projects. John will send an introductory note to David who will then invite the Getty to participate in a scaled-down version of the NEH's participation.

David reported considerable interest in the UK's Arts & Humanities Data Service to supply information on AHRB-funded projects. We will wait until we have a workable prototype before moving forward.

Also staff at the UK's HUMBUL, which is cataloging digital resources in the humanities, were keen to establish a relationship to this database that helped clarify the differences between the two projects.